



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/684,771	10/14/2003		Andrew Sendonaris	030217	3358	
23696	7590	06/02/2006		EXAMINER		
QUALCOI 5775 MORI				TRAN, P.	ABLO N	
SAN DIEG				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2618	2618	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/684,771	SENDONARIS E	SENDONARIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pablo N. Tran	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of the company of the compan	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	 action is non-final.					
		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
,						
Disposition of Claims		, 100 0.0.210.				
· <u> </u>						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 						
5) Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-20,23-32 and 34-36</u> is/are all						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-70,73-20,23-32 and 34-30</u> is/are and	oweu.					
7) Claim(s) <u>11,12,21,22 and 33</u> is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	•				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have beer	received in this National	l Stage			
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachmant/a\						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □ 1=4==±=	Summon (DTO 440)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/07/05</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 13, 16-20, 23-29, 34, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horton et al. (6,041,222).

As per claims 1, 23, and 34, Horton et al. disclose a communications device having a tunable oscillator (fig. 10) configured to produce a reference signal, a receiver configured to recover an information signal from a carrier using the reference signal, and a processor configured to detect a frequency error in the information signal and periodically tune the oscillator to reduce the frequency error (col. 9/ln. 66-col. 10/ln. 23, col. 11/ln. 12-col. 12/ln. 9, col. 12/ln. 62-col. 13, 32).

As per claims 2 and 24, Horton et al. disclose a rotator configured to compensate for the frequency error concurrently with the periodic tuning of the oscillator (col. 9/ln. 66-col. 10/ln. 23, col. 11/ln. 12-col. 12/ln. 9, col. 12/ln. 62-col. 13, 32).

As per claims 3 and 25, Horton et al. disclose an acquisition state and a synchronized state and the processor being further configured to acquire the carrier

Application/Control Number: 10/684,771

Art Unit: 2618

without tuning the oscillator during the acquisition state, and periodically tune the oscillator to reduce the frequency error and use the rotator to compensate for the frequency error during the synchronized state (col. 9/ln. 66-col. 10/ln. 23, col. 11/ln. 12-col. 12/ln. 9, col. 12/ln. 62-col. 13, 32).

As per claims 4, 17, 26, and 36, Horton et al. disclose the tunable oscillator is configured to produce a second reference signal, the communications device further comprising a second receiver configured to recover a second information signal from a second carrier using the second reference signal and the processor being further configured to disable the second receiver during the tuning of the oscillator (col. 9/ln. 66-col. 10/ln. 23, col. 11/ln. 12-col. 12/ln. 9, col. 12/ln. 62-col. 13, 32).

As per claims 5, 18, and 27, Horton et al. disclose the processor is configured to provide to the second receiver a signal relating to the frequency error and wherein the second receiver is further configured to use the signal relating to the frequency error to acquire the second carrier following the tuning of the oscillator (col. 9/ln. 66-col. 10/ln. 23, col. 11/ln. 12-col. 12/ln. 9, col. 12/ln. 62-col. 13, 32).

As per claims 6, 19, and 28, Horton et al. disclose the second receiver comprises a Global Positioning Satellite receiver (col. 9/ln. 66-col. 10/ln. 23, col. 11/ln. 12-col. 12/ln. 9, col. 12/ln. 62-col. 13, 32).

As per claims 7, 20 and 29, Horton et al. disclose the processor comprises a wide band code division multiple access processor (col. 9/ln. 66-col. 10/ln. 23, col. 11/ln. 12-col. 12/ln. 9, col. 12/ln. 62-col. 13, 32).

Application/Control Number: 10/684,771 Page 4

Art Unit: 2618

As per claim 13, as stated above in claim 1, Horton et al. further disclose a processor configured to detect a frequency error in the information signal and tune the oscillator if the frequency error crosses a threshold (col. 9/ln. 66-col. 10/ln. 23, col. 11/ln. 12-col. 12/ln. 9, col. 12/ln. 62-col. 13, 32).

As per claim 16, as stated above in claim 1, Horton et al. further disclose the processor is further configured to interface to a particular communications network, and wherein the threshold is a function of the particular communications network for which the processor is configured to interface with (col. 9/ln. 66-col. 10/ln. 23, col. 11/ln. 12-col. 12/ln. 9, col. 12/ln. 62-col. 13, 32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8-10, 14-16, 30-32, and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horton et al. (6,041,222) in view of Justice et al. (6,424,229).

As per claims 8, 14, 30 and 35, Horton disclosed such frequency error threshold but not specifically such frequency error crosses a first threshold, and once enabled, continues tuning the oscillator until the frequency error is reduced below a second threshold. Justice suggested such frequency error reduction below a second threshold

Application/Control Number: 10/684,771 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

(fig. 3, col. 4/ln. 30-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such frequency error reduction, as suggested by Justice et al., to the communication system of Horton et al. in order to provide a fast response due to the changed in the state of the tuning circuit.

As per claims 9, 15, and 31, the modified communication system of Horton et al. and Justice et al. further disclose the first threshold is greater than the second threshold (see Justice et al., col. 4/ln. 30-62).

As per claims 10, 16, and 32, the modified communication system of Horton et al. and Justice et al. further disclose the first and second thresholds are a function of the particular communications network for which the processor is configured to interface with (see Justice et al., col. 4/ln. 30-62).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 11-12, 21-22, and 33 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (571)272-7898. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can

Art Unit: 2618

be reached at (571)272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) System. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-directauspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PABLO N.TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 30, 2006

40201X